

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/630,873	BAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725

**All Participants:**

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Calderon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

5-15 and 21

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Thomes and Mezger

#### **Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is the opinion of the examiner that in claim 16, last line, before "straight", the word "vertical" shall be inserted to define the claimed mold structure over the prior art reference. Also, claims 5-15 and 21 shall be deleted since the claimed limitation does not define over the prior art references..